

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET N	IO. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,689		08/05/2003	Yong Moon Choi	098390-34217A	7580
26345	7590 06/03/2004			EXAMINER	
		EO, DOLAN, GRI	CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA		
1 RIVERFR NEWARK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MEWHILE, INS. OFFICE STOP				1615	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/634,689	CHOI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lakshmi S Channavajjala	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will by statute cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specific at th	e: a) accepted or b) objected to ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang the correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-5-03</u> .	(PTO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/634,689

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

IDS dated 8-5-03 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-8 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,627,211. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent claims a method of administering an anticonvulsive agent to the nasal membranes of a mammal and employs the same composition as that claimed in the instant application i.e., an anticonvulsive agent, an aqueous vehicle comprising 30-60% aliphatic alcohol, 30-60% glycol, a surfactant selected from bile salts and lecithin. Accordingly, instant composition is anticipated by the patented claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,689

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,428,006 to Bechgaard et al (Bechgaard).

Instant claim 1 is directed to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an anticonvulsive agent and an aqueous vehicle containing 30-60% aliphatic alcohol, 30-60% glycol and 0.1-5% bile salt or lecithin; and about 10% by volume of water. Dependent claims recite various amounts of the carrier components or specific anti-convulsive agents.

Bechgaard teaches nasal administration of active substances for rapid absorption of the substance into the blood stream, without causing unacceptable damage to the mucosal membrane. The composition of Bechgaard comprises active substances including anti-epileptic or anti-spasmolytic agents such as clonazepam, diazepam etc (col. 4, lines 38-40). Bechgaard recognizes alcohol, glycol and glycol ether as well known carriers for nasal administration of hypnotics (col. 3, lines 26-32) and teaches a carrier or vehicle for the active agent, which comprises n-glycofurol and n-ethylene glycol containing 1-14 ethylene units (col.3, lines 52-67 and col.4, lines 1-9).

Bechgaard does not specifically teach the claimed proportions of aliphatic alcohol, glycol, water and bile salts or lecithin. However, Beechgaard teaches that the composition preferably comprises polyethylene glycol having a molecular weight between 200 and 750 and (col. 7, lines 40-60). Further, Bechgaard suggests incorporating one or more of surfactants and absorption promoters, such as bile salts or their derivatives, lecithin & lysolecithin; solvents, such as alcohol and other excipients in the formulation. Bechgaard also fails to teach the specific anticonvulsive agent of claim 3. However, Bechgaard addresses the same problem of achieving a

Application/Control Number: 10/634,689

Art Unit: 1615

rapid onset and a high plasma level of the medicament as that of the instant invention, and in particular for nasal administration of highly lipophilic substances such as benzodiazepines, in a total volume for as low as 1µ. Accordingly, it would have been obvious for a skilled artisan at the time of the instant invention to add alcohols and surfactants (bile salts or lecithin), to the carrier vehicle of Bechgaard containing glycols, for nasal administration of anticonvulsive substances, because Bechgaard suggests that the alcohols increase solubility and surfactants enhance the absorption of these highly lipophilic substances and thus result in a controlled release formulations with a rapid onset of high plasma concentrations. Further, optimizing the amounts of the carriers such as water, alcohol and glycol, with an expectation to achieve the desired absorption of the drug is within the scope of a skilled artisan. Although Bechgaard does not teach anticonvulsive agent of claim 4, Bechgaard teaches in general anti-epileptic and antispasmolytic agents are suitable for nasal administration in their method. Accordingly, a skilled artisan would have been able to employ any anticonvulsive agent and yet achieved the same rapid onset of high plasma concentrations as taught by Bechgaard.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 AM -4.00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lakshmi S Channavajjala

Examiner Art Unit 1615

June 1, 2004